EXHIBIT A

10-15-12

OCT 1 5 2012



COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

Plymouth, SS.

Superior Court Department
Of The Trial Court

PAMELA PIKE and SALLY ZISCHKE, Individually and as Representatives of a Proposed Class,

Plaintiffs,

VS.

NEW GENERATION DONUTS, LLC., CADETE ENTERPRISES, INC., and JOHN CADETE Individually and in his Capacity as Manager of NEW GENERATION DONUTS, LLC. and President of CADETE ENTERPRISES, INC.

COMPLAINT and JURY DEMAND

C.A. No. CA12-1202

Defendants.

INTRODUCTION

This action is brought on behalf of Pamela Pike and Sally Zischke ("Plaintiffs"), as well as managers and training managers, employed by Cadete Enterprises, Inc. ("Cadete Enterprises") and New Generation Donuts, LLC ("New Generation Donuts") within Massachusetts. Defendants classified Plaintiffs, and other similarly situated employees, as hourly non-exempt employees, yet Defendants failed to pay for all hours worked, including overtime pay for hours worked beyond 40 in a single workweek.

NATURE OF THE ACTION

1. Plaintiffs allege, pursuant to Mass. R. Civ. P. 23, on behalf of themselves and a class of other similarly situated current and former managers and managers in training employed by New Generation Donuts within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts (the "Class"), that they are entitled to back wages from Defendants for all

hours worked by them, including overtime premium pay for hours worked beyond 40 in a single workweek as required by Massachusetts Labor Law, M.G.L. c. 151 § 1B. ("Massachusetts Labor Law").

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this Class Action pursuant to Mass. R. Civ. P. 23 and M.G.L. c. 212 § 4.
- 3. Venue is proper in this county pursuant to M.G.L. c. 223 § 1 as Defendant, Cadete Enterprises, Inc, maintains a principal place of business within this county in Pembroke, Massachusetts.
- 4. This Court is empowered to issue a declaratory judgment pursuant to M.G.L. c. 231A § 1.

THE PARTIES

- 5. Plaintiff Pamela Pike is, and was at all relevant times, an adult individual residing in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 6. Plaintiff Sally Zischke is, and was at all relevant times, an adult individual residing in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.
- 7. Upon information and belief, New Generation Donuts, LLC is a

 Massachusetts Domestic Limited Liability Company with its principal place of business
 at 125 Hancock Street, North Quincy, 02171
- 8. Upon information and belief, Cadete Enterprises, Inc. is a Massachusetts Corporation with its principal place of business at 12 Riverside Drive, Pembroke, MA, 02359.

9. Upon information and belief, Defendant John Cadete is the Manager of New Generation Donuts and the President of Cadete Enterprises and resides at 99 Albee Dr., Braintree, MA 02184.

CLASS ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Plaintiffs sue on their own behalf and on behalf of a class of persons under Rules 23(a) and (b) of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 11. Plaintiffs bring their Massachusetts Labor Law claims on behalf of all persons who were employed by Defendants in the state of Massachusetts at any time from October 11, 2009 to the date of entry of judgment in this case (the "Class Period") who held the positions of managers and training managers, were non-exempt employees within the meaning of Massachusetts Labor Law, and who have not been paid for all hours worked, including overtime wages, in violation of Massachusetts Labor Law.
- 12. The persons in the Class identified above are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. Although the precise number of such persons is unknown, and the facts on which the calculation of that number are presently within the sole control of the Defendants, upon information and belief there have been over 50 members of the Class during the Class Period.
- 13. The claims of Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of the Class and a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy -- particularly in the context of wage and hour litigation where individual plaintiffs lack the financial resources to vigorously prosecute a lawsuit in state court against a defendant.

- 14. Defendants have acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final injunctive relief or corresponding declaratory relief with respect to the Class as a whole.
- 15. Plaintiffs are committed to pursuing this action and have retained competent counsel experienced in wage and hour law and class action litigation.
- 16. Plaintiffs have the same interests in this matter as all other members of the Class and Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the Class.
- 17. There are questions of law and fact common to the Class which predominate over any questions solely affecting the individual members of the Class, including but not limited to:
 - a. whether the Defendants employed the members of the Class within the meaning of Massachusetts Labor Law;
 - b. what proof of hours worked is sufficient where an employer fails in its duty to maintain time records;
 - c. whether Defendants failed and/or refused to pay the members of the Class for all of the hours worked by them as well as premium pay for hours worked in excess of 40 hours per workweek within the meaning of Massachusetts Labor Law;
 - d. whether Defendants are liable for all damages claimed hereunder, including but not limited to compensatory, interest, costs and disbursements and attorneys' fees; and
 - e. whether Defendants should be enjoined from such violations of Massachusetts Labor Law in the future.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 18. Defendant Cadete Enterprise is a locally-owned and operated company operating multiple Dunkin Donuts shops in the state of Massachusetts.
- 19. Defendant New Generation Donuts is a locally-owned and operated company from which Plaintiffs received their weekly salary.
- 20. Defendant John Cadete was at all applicable times and is currently the President of Cadete Enterprises and Manager of New Generation Donuts.
- 21. Plaintiff Pamela Pike was employed by Defendants from on or about February of 2011 until June of 2011.
- 22. Plaintiff Sally Zischke was employed by Defendants from on or about November 7, 2009 until June 13, 2011.
- 23. At all relevant times, Defendants were Plaintiffs' "employers" within the meaning of the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"), 29 USC 203(d).
- 24. Although Plaintiff Pamela Pike's job title was "training manager," her and other similarly situated "training managers" primary job duties included serving customers, working the cash registers, making coffee, cleaning machines and restrooms, all of which are non-exempt duties.
- 25. Although Plaintiff Sally Zischke's job title was "manager," her and other similarly situated "managers" primary job duties included serving customers, working the cash registers, making coffee, cleaning machines and restrooms, all of which are non-exempt duties.
- 26. Plaintiffs worked in excess of forty (40) hours per week during the course of their employment.

- 27. Plaintiffs were employed as hourly employees who, accordingly, were entitled to payment for all hours worked and for overtime/premium pay when they worked more than 40 hours in a workweek as required by the FLSA and M.G.L. c. 149, §148.
- 28. Plaintiffs did not receive straight pay for all hours worked in excess of 40. hours in a work week, nor did they receive overtime pay for hours worked in excess of 40 in a workweek.
- 29. Plaintiffs work was performed for the benefit of the Defendants, in the normal course of Defendants' business and was integrated into the business of the Defendants.
- 30. On July 12, 2012, prior to the filing of this Complaint, Bruce Trager,
 Assistant Attorney General sent a letter to Attorney Robert T. Naumes, Jr. authorizing
 Plaintiff Pamela Pike to bring a civil action. Private Right of Action Letter, July 12,
 2012, attached hereto as "Exhibit A."
- 31. On October 10, 2012, prior to the filing of this Complaint, Bruce Trager, Assistant Attorney General sent a letter to Attorney Robert T. Naumes, Jr. authorizing Plaintiff Sally Zischke to bring a civil action. Private Right of Action Letter, July 12, 2012, attached hereto as "Exhibit B."

COUNT ONE (VIOLATION OF THE FAIR LABOR STANDARDS ACT, 29 U.S.C. 201, et seg.)

32. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the members of the Class, reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 31 as if they were set forth again herein.

- 33. At all relevant times, Plaint ffs were "employees" of the Defendants as the term is defined under the FLSA.
- 34. At all relevant times, Defendants "suffered or permitted" the Plaintiffs to work and thus "employed" them within the meaning of FLSA, 29 USC §203(g).
- 35. The FLSA requires an employer to pay employees overtime premium rate of one and half times their regular rate of pay for every hour worked in excess of forty (40) hours per work week, 29 USC §206.
- 36. As non-exempt employees, Plaintiffs and the Class are entitled to receive pay for all hours worked.
- 37. Defendants willfully misclassified Plaintiffs as exempt employees under the overtime wage provisions of the FLSA.
- 38. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiffs' rights and the rights of the Class by failing to pay them for all hours worked in violation of the Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 USC §201, et seq.
- 39. Defendants' FLSA violations have caused Plaintiffs and the Class irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.
- 40. Due to Defendants' FLSA violations. Plaintiffs and the Class are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid wages for all hours worked, damages for unreasonably delayed payment of wages, treble damages as liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to Fair Labor Standards Act, 29 USC §201, et seq.

COUNT TWO (VIOLATION OF M.G.L. c. 149 § 148)

- 41. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the members of the Class, reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 40 as if they were set forth again herein.
- 42. As non-exempt employees, Plaintiffs and the Class are entitled to receive pay for all hours worked.
- 43. Defendants willfully violated Plaintiffs' rights and the rights of the Class by failing to pay them for all hours worked in violation of Massachusetts Labor Law, M.G.L. c. 149, § 148.
- 44. Defendants' Massachusetts Labor Law violations have caused Plaintiffs and the Class irreparable harm for which there is no adequate remedy at law.
- 45. Due to Defendants' Massachusetts Labor Law violations, Plaintiffs and the Class are entitled to recover from Defendants their unpaid wages for all hours worked, damages for unreasonably delayed payment of wages, treble damages as liquidated damages, and reasonable attorneys' fees and costs and disbursements of the action, pursuant to Massachusetts Labor Law, M.G.L. c. 149 § 148.

COUNT THREE (FAILURE TO PAY MINIMUM WAGE IN VIOLATION OF M.G.L. c. 151 §§ 1 and 7)

46. Plaintiffs, on behalf of themselves and the members of the Class, reallege and incorporate by reference paragraphs 1 through 44 as if they were set forth again herein.

47. As set forth above, the Defendants failed to pay, or ensure the payment of, minimum wage violates M.G.L. c. 151, §§ I and 7.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs on behalf of themselves and all other similarly situated members of the Class, respectfully requests that this Court grant the following relief:

- a. Certification of this action as a class action pursuant to Mass. R. Civ. P.

 23(a) and (b) on behalf of the members of the Class and appointing

 Plaintiffs and their counsel to represent the Class;
- b. A declaratory judgment that the practices complained of herein are unlawful under the Fair Labor Standards Act and Massachusetts Labor Law;
- c. An award of damages for all hours worked, for overtime compensation due under the Fair Labor Standards Act and Massachusetts Labor Law;
- d. An award of liquidated damages as a result of the Defendants' failure to pay for all hours worked, including overtime;
- e. An award of treble damages;
- f. An award of prejudgment and post-judgment interest;
- g. An award of costs and expenses of this action together with reasonable attorneys' and expert fees; and
- h. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY

Pursuant to Rule 38(b) of the Massachusetts Rules of Civil Procedure,

Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury on all questions of fact raised by the Complaint.

Dated: |0/11/12

Respectfully submitted,

Robert T. Naumes Jr., Esq., BBO #664826

THORNTON & NAUMES LLP

100 Summer St. 30th Floor

Boston MA 02110

617-720-1333

617-720-2445 (Fax)

BNaumesJr@tenlaw.com

And

Mike Arias, Esq.*

Arias, Ozzello & Gignac, LLP

6701 Center Drive West, 14th Floor

Los Angeles, CA 90045

310-670-1600

310-670-1231 (Fax)

marias@aogllp.com

ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS

*To be admitted pro hac vice.

CIVIL ACTION COVER SHEET	SUPERIOR				DOCKET NO.
	COUNTY	DI.	YMOUT	4	
PLAINTIFF(S) Pamela Pike and Sally Zischke	OF		FENDA	Ne NT(S) Cade1 Cade1	w Generations Donuts, LLC, e Enterprises Inc., and John e, Individually and In his Capacit mager and President
Type Plaintiff's Attorney name, Addr Phone Number and Bl		Zip	Туре	Defendant's At	torney Name, Address, City/State/Zip
obert T. Naumes, Jr., Esq. HORNTON & NAUMES, LLP 00 Summer St., 30th Fl., Boston, MA 021 17-720-1333 oard of Bar Overseers number: 664826	10				e e
my man	CONTON IND TO	D 1 (1)	all L		
CODE NO. TYPE OF ACTION (sp	CTION AND THE ecify) TRA		K DESI	SNATION (See	reverse side) IS THIS A JURY CASE?
A01 Services Labor and Materials	- Fast Track			NO.	(°;] Yes (°) No
A. Documented medical expenses 1. Total hospital expenses 2. Total doctor expenses 3. Total chiropractic expenses 4. Total physical therapy exp 5. Total other expenses (desc B. Documented lost wages and con C. Documented property damages C. Reasonably anticipated future in E. Reasonably anticipated lost wag F. Other documented items of dan G. Brief description of plaintiff's in	enses ribe) npensation to fo date medical expenses and compessand	date ses ensat e)	ion to	date	Subtotal S
				, , ,	Total \$
Provide a detailed description of clai	CONTI Attach additio m(s):	RAC	T CLA	IMS is necessary)	
Defendants failed to pay plaintiffs under Defendants failed to pay plaintiffs minimals also claims reasonable attorney's fees	ium wage under	G.L	c. 151	Sec. 1 and 7.	
PLEASE IDENTIFY, BY CASE NUMBER.	NAME AND CO	משכ	ΓY, AN	RELATED A	CTION PENDING IN THE SUPERIOR
		2 0		VII.	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
"I hereby certify that I have complied with the re Rule 1:18) requiring that I provide my clients wi advantages and disadvantages of the various met Signature of Attorney of Record A.O.S.C. 3-2007 Robert	th information abo	ut con	urt-conne	eted dispute reso	

COMMONWEALTHO	F MASSA	CHUSETTS SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT OF
PLYMOUTH, ss.	4	THE TRIAL COURT OF THE
7		COMMONWEALTH
*		CIVIL ACTION NO. 12-01202
PAMELA PIKE and SALLY ZISCHKE, Individually and as Representatives of a		
Proposed Class		

No.		
NEW GENERATION DONUTS, LLC., CADETE ENTE and JOHN CADETE, Individually and in his Capacity as	Manager o	1
NEW GERGE TION DONUTS, LLC. and President of SUM	MONS	ENTERPRISES, INC.
To the above-named defendant: CADETE ENTERPR	ISES, INC	
You are hereby summoned and required to se attorney, whose address is 100 Summer Street, 30th Flor	туе проп	Robert T. Naumes, Jr., plaintiff
atterney whose address is 100 Summer Street, 30th Flor	or, Boston	MA 02110, an answer to the complaint
attorney, whose address is 100 summer states, which is herewith served upon you, within 20 days after	service	of this summons upon you, exclusive of the
day of service. If you fail to do so, judgment by define	the com	plaint in the office of the Clerk of this court at
complaint. You are also required to file your answer to Plymouth either before service upon plaintiff attorn	nev or wit	hin a reasonable time thereafter.
Plymouth either before service upon pizintili	dej ot til	*
Unless otherwise provided by Rule 13(a), you	answer	must state as a counterclaim any claim which
you may have against the plaintiff which arise	out of th	e transaction or occurrence that is the subject
matter of the plaintiff claim or you will thereafter	be barred	from making such claim in any other action.
Witness, Barbara J. Rouse Esquire, at Plymo	outh the	Lstday of
Witness, Barbara J. Rouse Esquite, at 1.1111		2017
Noxember In the year of our La	ord Two t	housand and All.A
•		74
		Robert S. Creedon, Jr. CLERK OF COURTS
NOTES Puls de		The Collection of the Collecti
NOTES 1. This summons is issued pursuant to Rule 4 of the summons is issued pursuant to Summons is issued pursuant to Summons is its summons is i	the Mas	of all defendants should appear in the caption.
 When more than one defendant is involved, If a separate summons is used for each defendant. 	ndant, enc	s of all defendants should appear in the caption. In should be addressed to the particular
defendant.		West Many Maker Weblele Tort-Contract
3. To the plaintiff's attorney: please circle type	of action	involved-Tort-Motor Vehicle Tort-Contract-
Faultable Relief-Other.		The second secon
I hereby certify and return that on together with a copy with a copy of the complaint in	this actio	n, upon the within-named detendants 1
following manner (See Mass. R. Clv. P. 4(d)(1-5):	+++++++++	,
		1447 3408 5444 457 596 500 546 4594 1 540 5 544 1 941 6 544 6 545 6 54 6 54 6 54 6 54 6 54
445145441401344445444454444544445134454444444444	VID-PORTANDO DESERVA	
4451149941401394444590000000111401314883500001013044945194194130700000000000000000000000000000000000		and the second s
.Dated: , 200		***************************************
N.B. TO PROCESS SERVER:-	RVICE	ON DEFENDANT IN THIS BOX ON THE
ORIGINAL AND ON COPY SERVED ON DEFE		202
		, 200
A TRUE COPY, ATTEST		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
The Man		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
DEPUTY SHERIFF		
	1	,
11-5-12	-	ij 6

Commonwealth of Massachusetts County of Plymouth The Superior Court

Plymouth, ss

CIVIL DOCKET # PLCV2012-01202-A Court com CtRm 5 (72 Belmont Street, Brockton)

RE:

Pike et al v New Generation Donuts LLC et al

TO:

Robert T Naumes Jr, Esquire Thornton & Naumes 100 Summer Street 30th Floor Boston, MA 02110

SCHEDULING ORDER FOR F TRACK

You are hereby notified that this case is on the track referenced above as per Superior Court Standing Order 1-88. The order requires that the various stages of litigation described below must be completed not later than the deadlines indicated, and case shall be resolved and judgment shall issue 08/06/2014.

> DEADLINES STAGES OF LITIGATION

STAGES OF LITICATION		The state of the s		
SER	VED BY	FILED BY	HEARD BY	
01/1	3/2013	01/13/2013		
		02/12/2013		
02/1	2/2013	03/14/2013	04/13/2013	
02/1	2/2013	03/14/2013	04/13/2013	
08/1	1/2013			
09/1	0/2013	10/10/2013		
× .			02/07/2014	
			08/06/2014	
	01/1 02/1 02/1 08/1	SERVED BY 01/13/2013 02/12/2013 02/12/2013 08/11/2013 09/10/2013	01/13/2013 01/13/2013 02/12/2013 03/14/2013 02/12/2013 03/14/2013 02/12/2013 03/14/2013 08/11/2013	

The final pre-trial deadline is not the scheduled date of the conference.

You will be notified of that date at a later time.

Counsel for plaintiff must serve this tracking order on defendant before the deadline for filing return of service.

Dated: 10/24/2012

Robert S. Creedon, Jr. Clerk of the Court

Telephone: (508) 583-8250 ext. 305

Disabled individuals who need handicap accommodations should contact the Administrative Office of the Superior Court at (617) 788-8130 -- Check website as to status of case: http://ma-trialcourts.org/tole 948710 indocon multinal